Bank loan forbearance: evidence from a million restructured loans

Frederico Mourad - BCB

Rafael Schiozer - FGV/EAESP

Toni dos Santos - BCB

XXV Meeting of the Central Bank Researchers Network October 29th

Disclaimer

The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Central Bank of Brazil.

Loan forbearance

• What is it?

• "forbearance is a <u>concession granted to a counterparty</u> for reasons of financial difficulty that would not be otherwise considered by the lender". (BCBS, 2016)

Loan forbearance

• "Good" forbearance

the borrower has **good payment capacity** but is facing a **temporary liquidity problem**

- prevents a viable business from closing;
- reduces potential losses with troubled loans;
- avoids costs with the process of seizing and selling the collateral.

Loan forbearance

• "Bad" forbearance:

conceived to hide expected losses from debtor with solvency problems

- easing the terms of the loan will not suffice: borrower unlikely to honor the new obligations in the future
- value of collateral, if any, is likely to decrease over time
- Real effects of pervasive bad forbearance
 - Zombie lending affects economic growth (Hoshi and Kashyap, 2004)

Motivation

Never paid loan (\$10,000; 2% p.m. interest)

| | | Period | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| | Panel A | | | | | | | | |
| | Days Past Due | 0 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 210 |
| | Rating | В | В | С | D | Ε | \mathbf{F} | G | Η |
| loan is not forborne | Provision $(\%)$ | 1% | 1% | 3% | 10% | 30% | 50% | 70% | 100% |
| | Provision $(\$)$ | 100 | 102 | 312 | 1,040 | $3,\!121$ | $5,\!202$ | $7,\!283$ | $10,\!404$ |
| | Interest Earned | - | 200 | 204 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Provision expenses | 100 | 2 | 210 | 728 | $2,\!081$ | $2,\!081$ | $2,\!081$ | $3,\!121$ |
| | Acumulated Profit | (100) | 98 | 92 | (636) | (2,717) | (4,798) | $(6,\!879)$ | (10,000) |
| | Panel B | | | | | | | | |
| loan is forborne every 60 days | Days Past Due | 0 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 60 | 30 |
| | Rating | В | В | \mathbf{C} | \mathbf{C} | \mathbf{C} | \mathbf{C} | \mathbf{C} | \mathbf{C} |
| | Provision $(\%)$ | 1% | 1% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% | 3% |
| | Provision $(\$)$ | 100 | 102 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 |
| | Interest Earned | - | 200 | 204 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Provision expenses | 100 | 2 | 210 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Acumulated Profit | (100) | 98 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| | Fre | derico Moura | d / Rafael So | chiozer / Tor | ni dos Santos | | | | 6 |

Motivation

- Delinquency ratio "culture"
 - Bradesco 1Q2019 press release
- Forbearance Impact
 - FSR (BCB, 2019)



Motivation

- Resolution 2,682 (CMN, 1999) in Brazil
 - Minimum rating and provision based on number of days past due

| Rating | Days Past Due | Minimum Provision |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------|
| AA | | |
| А | | 0.5% |
| В | 15 to 30 | 1% |
| \mathbf{C} | 31 to 60 | 3% |
| D | 61 to 90 | 10% |
| ${ m E}$ | 91 to 120 | 30% |
| \mathbf{F} | 121 to 150 | 50% |
| G | 151 to 180 | 70% |
| Н | more than 180 | 100% |

• All loans issued to a borrower must be classified in the same category as the riskiest loan

What is novel in this paper?

- Renegotiation of Financial Contracts
 - Gilson et al. (JFE, 1990); Roberts & Sufi (JFE, 2009); Demiroglu & James (JFE, 2015); Roberts (JFE, 2015); Campello et al. (RF, 2019)
 - larger sample (loan-level data)
 - characteristics not explored before

What is novel in this paper?

- Law and Finance Creditor rights, collateral, and firm financing
 - Vig (JF, 2013); Assunção et al. (RFS, 2014); Campello & Larrain (RFS, 2016)
 - how increase in creditors' rights affects forbearance
- Financial Stability
 - Rojas-Suarez & Weisbrod (1996); OECD (2001); Kanaya & Woo (2000); Peek & Rosengren (2005); Gunther & Moore (2003)
 - successive forbearances (zombie lending)
 - regulation incentives

Data

• Period: April 2012 to October 2018

• Loans

- Almost 13 Million non-accrual loans
- + 1 Million forborne loans
- + 1,000 financial institutions
- + 2M non-financial firms

• Forborne Measure

- Covers all loans
- Loan-level data
- Does not rely on subjective judgement (other measures)

Other Measurements of forbearance or zombie lending in the literature

- Peek & Rosengren (2005)
 - increase of loans to firms with poor performance variables
- Caballero, Hoshi & Kashyap (2008)
 - loans to firms with subsidized interest rate
- Arrowsmith et al. (2013)
 - surveys with banks about loans to specific firms
- Homar, Kick & Salleo (2015)
 - comprehensive asset quality review
- Bonfim et al. (WP, 2019)
 - Loans to firms with negative equity

Univariate Analysis

Time to forbear in months



Frederico Mourad / Rafael Schiozer / Toni dos Santos

13

Univariate Analysis

Unconditional probability of forbearance \boldsymbol{x} loan size



Larger loans

are more likely to be forborne across all modalities



What drives loan forbearance?

Forborne_{*i*,*j*,*k*} = α + β_1 Has Performing_{*i*,*j*,*k*} + Λ' Guarantee Type_{*i*,*j*,*k*} + β_3 Previous Forbearances_{*j*,*k*} + $\beta_4 \log \left(Number \ of \ Periods_{i,j,k} \right)$

(2.1)

+ $\beta_5 \log(Loan \, Value_{i,j,k} + 1) + \Gamma' X_{i,j,k} + \varepsilon_{i,j,k}$



| | Forborne Status | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| _ | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | | |
| Has Performing Loan | 0.0100 ** | 0.0111 *** | 0.0113 *** | 0.0104 ** | 0.0102 ** | | |
| | (0.0040) | (0.0040) | (0.0038) | (0.0047) | (0.0044) | | |
| Guarantee Type | | | | | | | |
| Lien | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Mortgage | 0.0292 | 0.0312 | 0.0301 | 0.0367 ** | 0.0362 ** | | |
| | (0.0206) | (0.0206) | (0.0206) | (0.0147) | (0.0165) | | |
| Other | 0.0483 *** | 0.0497 *** | 0.0491 *** | 0.0385 *** | 0.0360 *** | | |
| | (0.0131) | (0.0133) | (0.0129) | (0.0121) | (0.0114) | | |
| Prev. Forb. (# Months) | 0.0150 *** | 0.0130 ** | 0.0118 ** | 0.0083 * | 0.0084 * | | |
| | (0.0050) | (0.0052) | (0.0051) | (0.0043) | (0.0044) | | |
| Ln(Number of Periods) | -0.0832 *** | -0.0849 *** | -0.0845 *** | -0.0839 *** | -0.0858 *** | | |
| | (0.0075) | (0.0080) | (0.0081) | (0.0082) | (0.0090) | | |
| Ln(Loan Value + 1) | 0.0164 *** | 0.0161 *** | 0.0162 *** | 0.0164 *** | 0.0166 *** | | |
| | (0.0029) | (0.0030) | (0.0030) | (0.0035) | (0.0035) | | |
| Month FE | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | | |
| Municipality FE | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | | |
| Bank FE | No | No | No | Yes | No | | |
| Bank-Month FE | No | No | No | No | Yes | | |
| Industry-Month FE | No | No | No | No | Yes | | |
| Municipality-Month FE | No | No | No | No | Yes | | |

What drives loan forbearance?

Results / Takeaways



Next steps

• Bank relationship

- Does it affect likelihood and terms of forbearance?
- What is the effect of multiple relationships
- Are weak banks more likely to forbear?
 - If so, what is the causal direction?
 - What is the channel? Capital requirements? Income smoothing?

• What happens after forbearance?

- Are loans paid? Defaulted again?
- Are there observable features driving repayment or new default?
- Real effects of forbearance
 - What are the long run effects for firms' employment and investment?
 - Does forbearance induce moral hazard?

Thank you